

DAVID ECCLES SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

Gardner Institute Long-Term Planning Projections

INFORMED DECISIONS™

Kem C. Gardner Policy Institute | 411 East South Temple Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 | 801-585-5618 | gardner.utah.edu

Outline of content

- Background on Gardner Projections
- General Highlights

Gardner Planning Projection Process

- Long-term every 4 years, short-term every 2 years
- Work with community partners
- New or updated inputs and methods

Data Highlights

Historical and Projected Population Change, 2010-2060



Historical and Projected Household Change, 2010-2060



Historical and Projected Employment Change, 1980-2060



Utah Projected Employment Growth by Industry, 2020 to 2060



Net migration becomes a more dominant driver of statewide population change

Projected Utah Components of Change, 2010-2060



Changes to age structure are projected to continue

Utah Population Pyramid, 2020 and 2060



DAVID ECCLES SCHOOL OF BUSINESS UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

Changes to age structure are projected to continue

Selected Utah Age Groups as a Percent of Total Population, 2010-2060



Urban counties lead population and household growth

Utah Projected County Population Change, 2020 to 2060



Urban counties lead population and household growth

County Share of Projected State Household Growth, 2020-2060

26. Salt	4% Lake	25 U	.7% tah	12.5% Washington	10.5% Davis	3.7% Weber	3.7% Cache	3.7% Tooele
Rest of State (4.1%) Beaver, 0.2% Carbon, 0.2% Daggett, 0.0% Duchesne, 0.2%	Emery, 0.1% Garfield, 0.1% Grand, 0.3% Juab, 0.5%	Kane, 0.2% Millard, 0.1% Morgan, 0.5% Piute, 0.0%	Rich, 0.1% San Juan, 0.3% Sanpete, 0.4% Sevier, 0.3%	Uintah, 0.6% Wayne, 0.0%	1.1% Summit	1.7% Box Elder	1.9% Iron	2.0 % Wasatch

Job growth is more urbanized

Utah Projected County Population Change, 2020 to 2060



Projected Job Growth by County, 2020 to 2060



Industry growth differs throughout the state

Top 10 Counties, Projected Manufacturing Employment Growth, 2020–2060

Area	Projected Manufacturing Employment Growth	Share of Projected Growth
State of Utah	39,411	n/a
County		
Salt Lake	12,506	31.7%
Utah	7,663	19.4%
Weber	5,839	14.8%
Cache	4,020	10.2%
Washington	2,839	7.2%
Davis	2,014	5.1%
Box Elder	1,631	4.1%
Tooele	894	2.3%
Iron	389	1.0%
Juab	374	0.9%
Top 10 Total	38,169	96.8 %

Top 10 Counties, Projected Professional, Scientific, and Technical Service Industry Employment

Area	Professional, Scientific,and Technical Service	Share of Projected Growth
State of Utah	195,147	n/a
County		
Salt Lake	94,738	48.5%
Utah	56,542	29.0%
Davis	13,117	6.7%
Washington	9,277	4.8%
Weber	6,063	3.1%
Cache	5,529	2.8%
Summit	3,629	1.9%
Wasatch	1,420	0.7%
Iron	1,170	0.6%
Tooele	765	0.4%
Top 10 Total	191,485	98.1%

Additional content

Assumptions

Demographic Assumptions



Economic Special Events in Model

Coal-Fired Power	Point-of-the-	Coal Mining	2030 Winter
Plant Closures	Mountain		Olympics
Millard County, 2025 Uintah County, 2030 Emery County, 2036 and 2042	Impacts of redevelopment effort through 2045	Use analysis from Coal Country Scenarios, extended to Carbon, Emery, Kane, Sanpete, and Sevier	Direct impacts begin in 2024, end in 2031 Limited to Greater Salt Lake Economic Region



Vintage 2021 Economic Projection Process

Economic/Industry Drivers





Economic Regions Provide Basis for Model Framing

Source: Kem C. Gardner Policy Institute and State of Utah, SGID

What determines future population projections?

ANALYSIS

1. Demographic modeling (fertility, mortality, migration, etc.)

2. Economic modeling (labor force participation rates, industry trends, etc.)

3. Projects of statewide significance (Power plant closures, The Point, etc.)

4. Constraints (soils, slopes, flood plains, resource constraints, etc.)

5. Policy choices (land use, infrastructure investments, opportunity zones, community preferences, etc.)

20XX Population Projections

Notes:

- Kem C. Gardner Policy Institute analyzes economic and demographic trends, including projects of statewide significance (Analysis Boxes 1-3)
- Local experts advise the Gardner Institute on policy choices relevant to long-term economics and demographics, including transportation and water infrastructure development (Analysis Box 5)
- Demographic and economic projections alone cannot answer the question of future infrastructure needs.

2020

Population

Estimates

The Population-Policy Feedback Loop

SUMMARY

Existing and future environmental constraints and policy choices, such as infrastructure investments, serve as an input to future population projections.

For this reason, population projections alone cannot be used to justify infrastructure or major project investment.

Infrastructure investment is an input that affects future population levels.

Decision-making should acknowledge this feedback loop in their planning decisions.

